Elements of Decision Lecture Notes of Intermediate Microeconomics

Charles Z. Zheng

August 2, 2024

©Charles Z. Zheng 2024 All rights reserved

This material is copyrighted and cannot be legally reproduced without written permission

August 2, 2024
Department of Economics
The University of Western Ontario
charles.zheng@uwo.ca
https://economics.uwo.ca/faculty/zheng/

Preface

Here are my lecture notes, exercises included, for an Intermediate Microeconomics course that I have been teaching at the University of Western Ontario for more than a decade, and at Carnegie Mellon University during my visit there. The course is for the honors level sophomores majoring in economics. My goal of writing the lecture notes is to compactify the various topics into just several chapters under a single theme, to introduce the formal analysis of decision making in the pure competition context. Such compactification helps me to strike a balance between the objective of engaging the students in nontrivial economic reasoning—mathematics an integral part thereof—and the constraints due to the large number of students and their vastly diverse levels of preparedness in critical reasoning. With only a few chapters to read, students are relatively free of the distractive burden of memorizing jargons in various topics, so they have time to exercise critical reading.

I sequence the chapters in a somewhat unconventional way, starting with producer theory instead of consumer theory. I prefer such sequencing because producer theory is simpler in substance, with explicit objective functions and without the income effect complication. Furthermore, scientific reasoning on human activities requires a kind of "suspension of disbelief" (Wordswoth), as an observer can be easily biased by his own parochial experience when the subjects he studies are close to him. In contrast to a firm's decision, a consumer's decision can sound too close to students' daily experience for them to suspend their specific intuition thereby to make room for abstract reasoning.

While most of the chapters are confined to classic decision theory, as it is the main component of this course, I include some game theory materials to convey a sense of continuance from classic decision theory to game theory. First comes a chapter on zero-sum games that are solved as if they were a consumer's optimization problems, thanks to the minimax theorem. Then is a chapter on dominant strategies, which inherits much of the decision-theoretic convenience that the opponents' responses can be set aside to a large extent. Finally comes an introduction to Nash equilibrium, where decisions are truly interactive across players.

To Students Please read these chapters slowly, critically. Except the exercises, almost every sentence (which can be in the form of equations) is meant to be either a definition, an assumption, or an assertion. For every sentence that appears, figure out which category it belongs to. If it is an assertion, figure out its reasoning, namely, the path from the definitions and assumptions to the assertion. And please be mindful of typos, which most likely exist, as these are notes that I update often, and any update may necessitate further changes yet to be incorporated.

Acknowledgement I got the idea of presenting producer theory before consumer theory from the lecture notes of my late advisor Marcel Ket Richter in an inspiring first-year PhD class he taught at the University of Minnesota. My chapters on revealed preference and Slutsky Equation are likely to have been influenced by Hal Varian's undergraduate textbook *Intermediate Microeconomics*, which I used as the textbook at the early stage of my teaching career.

Contents

| Ι | De | cisions | 1 |
|---|------|---|----|
| 1 | Firi | n's Supply | 3 |
| | 1.1 | Introduction | 3 |
| | 1.2 | A firm's output decision problem | 3 |
| | 1.3 | Cost function | 4 |
| | 1.4 | The profit-maximizing output | 6 |
| | 1.5 | An example | 7 |
| | 1.6 | A firm's supply curve | 8 |
| | 1.7 | What if the firm is a monopolist? | 9 |
| | 1.8 | Exercises | 13 |
| 2 | Dep | ployment of Inputs | 17 |
| | 2.1 | Cost minimization | 17 |
| | 2.2 | Marginal products and partial derivatives | 18 |
| | 2.3 | Isoquants and their slopes | 18 |
| | 2.4 | Cost-minimizing input bundle | 21 |
| | 2.5 | Derivation of the cost function | 23 |
| | 2.6 | Returns to scale | 24 |
| | 2.7 | Exercises | 26 |

vi *CONTENTS*

| 3 | The | Lagrange Method | 29 |
|---|-----|--|----|
| | 3.1 | Constrained optimization with equality constraints | 29 |
| | 3.2 | The procedure | 30 |
| | 3.3 | An example with multiple constraints | 31 |
| | 3.4 | The idea behind the method | 32 |
| | | 3.4.1 The idea illustrated by an example | 33 |
| | | 3.4.2 Gradients and the general idea | 34 |
| | 3.5 | When is the Lagrange method applicable? | 36 |
| | 3.6 | The complication with inequality constraints | 37 |
| | 3.7 | Exercises | 38 |
| 4 | Pre | ference and Utility | 43 |
| | 4.1 | Preference relation | 43 |
| | 4.2 | Utility function | 45 |
| | | 4.2.1 Countably many alternatives | 45 |
| | | 4.2.2 Uncountably many alternatives and the lexicographic ordering | 45 |
| | | 4.2.3 Continuity of a preference relation | 47 |
| | 4.3 | Indifference curves and marginal rate of substitution | 47 |
| | 4.4 | Risky decisions and expected utilities | 49 |
| | 4.5 | Exercises | 51 |
| 5 | Con | Consumer's Decision | |
| | 5.1 | A consumer's decision problem | 55 |
| | | 5.1.1 Budget constraint | 55 |
| | | 5.1.2 Optimal consumption | 56 |
| | | 5.1.3 Endowment and trade | 57 |
| | 5.2 | Calculating the optimal consumption | 57 |

| C | ONTI | ENTS | | vii |
|----|------|--------|--|-----|
| | | 5.2.1 | The MRS condition | 57 |
| | | 5.2.2 | Corner solutions given quasilinear preferences | 59 |
| | 5.3 | Dema | nd function and demand curve | 61 |
| | | 5.3.1 | The demand curve derived from quasilinear preferences | 63 |
| | | 5.3.2 | Consumer's surplus | 64 |
| | | 5.3.3 | Market demand curve | 65 |
| | 5.4 | Exerci | ises | 66 |
| 6 | Rev | vealed | Preference | 71 |
| | 6.1 | The m | nain idea | 71 |
| | 6.2 | Predic | ction and the substitution effect | 73 |
| | 6.3 | Welfar | re comparison | 74 |
| | | 6.3.1 | Interpersonal comparison | 74 |
| | | 6.3.2 | What we can infer from macroeconomic indices | 75 |
| | | 6.3.3 | Sales tax rebate | 76 |
| | 6.4 | Indire | ctly revealed preference | 77 |
| | 6.5 | When | can one's behavior be rationalized? | 78 |
| | | 6.5.1 | The weak axiom | 79 |
| | | 6.5.2 | The strong axiom | 80 |
| | | 6.5.3 | The strong axiom is necessary and sufficient for rationalizability | 81 |
| | 6.6 | Exerci | ises | 83 |
| II | G | ames | | 87 |
| | | | | |
| 7 | Zer | o-Sum | Games | 89 |
| | 7.1 | Strate | egies and payoffs | 89 |
| | 7.2 | Mixed | l strategies and a player's choice set | 90 |

viii *CONTENTS*

| | 7.3 | A zero-sum game as a consumer optimization problem | . 91 |
|---|-----|---|-------|
| | 7.4 | What about the column player? | . 93 |
| | 7.5 | The Minimax Theorem | . 94 |
| | 7.6 | Saddle points and the value of a game | . 95 |
| | 7.7 | Generalizing to $n \times 2$ Zero-Sum Games | . 96 |
| | 7.8 | Exercises | . 99 |
| 8 | Dor | minant Strategies | 101 |
| | 8.1 | Prisoners' dilemma | . 101 |
| | 8.2 | Iterative elimination of dominated strategies | . 102 |
| | 8.3 | Weakly dominated strategies | . 102 |
| | 8.4 | Sequential-move games and backward induction | . 103 |
| | 8.5 | Two subtle points on sequential-move games | . 105 |
| | | 8.5.1 Incredible threats | . 105 |
| | | 8.5.2 Normal form and the meaning of a strategy | . 105 |
| | 8.6 | Stackelberg games | . 106 |
| | 8.7 | Exercises | . 108 |
| 9 | Nas | sh Equilibrium | 111 |
| | 9.1 | Battle of the Sexes and Nash equilibrium | . 111 |
| | 9.2 | How to calculate Nash equilibria | . 112 |
| | 9.3 | Best response and the consumer optimization problem | . 113 |
| | 9.4 | Cournot competition | . 115 |
| | 9.5 | Intersection of the best responses | . 116 |
| | 0.6 | Evereises | 118 |

CONTENTS ix

| II | I S | upplements | 121 |
|--------------|------|--|-------|
| A | Inp | ut-Output Decision | 123 |
| | A.1 | The production set | . 123 |
| | A.2 | Isoprofit lines | . 124 |
| | A.3 | Profit-maximizing production plans | . 125 |
| | A.4 | Comparative statics | . 126 |
| | A.5 | Revealed profitability | . 127 |
| | A.6 | Exercises | . 130 |
| \mathbf{B} | Slut | sky Equation | 133 |
| | B.1 | The idea | . 133 |
| | B.2 | Substitution effect | . 135 |
| | B.3 | Income effect | . 136 |
| | B.4 | Total effect | . 138 |
| | B.5 | With proper notations | . 138 |
| | B.6 | Exercises | . 139 |
| \mathbf{C} | The | Envelope Theorem | 143 |
| | C.1 | Quantitative comparative statics | . 143 |
| | C.2 | The envelope theorem given an independent choice set | . 144 |
| | C.3 | When the choice set depends on the parameter | . 145 |
| | C.4 | Exercises | . 148 |

x CONTENTS

Part I

Decisions

Chapter 1

Firm's Supply

1.1 Introduction

Economics is a science on relations among individuals. Such relations include trades, contracts, conflicts, households, markets, networks, societies, etc. Any such relation can be modeled into a game, where one tries to pick a move as a best response to the move he expects his counterpart may pick, knowing that the counterpart's move also results from her attempt to best respond to his move that she expects him to pick. Calculations of such interactive reasoning constitute game theory, the mathematical foundation of modern economics. The fundamental building block of such calculations is the mathematical method for an individual to decide on his best move. To introduce such decision-theoretic method in the simplest possible setting, we start by pretending that the counterpart of the individual is not a strategic player trying to game him but rather a nonstrategic entity such as a purely competitive market, which the individual does not need to haggle with. Given this assumption of pure competition, learning the basic decision-theoretic techniques will constitute two thirds of this course. Then, for the remaining third, we will extend such techniques to basic concepts in game theory.

1.2 A firm's output decision problem

To illustrate a decision problem in its simplest form, suppose that a firm acts as an individual deciding how much of its output to sell. Suppose that every unit of the output is sold for p dollars in the market (the aforementioned pure competition assumption), and that the firm incurs a cost C(q) dollars if it sells q units of the output to the market. The question is How many units of the output should the firm supply to maximize its profit? As profit means revenue minus cost, the firm's profit from supplying q units is equal to pq - C(q) dollars. Thus the firm's decision

¹For a real-world example, think of a small dairy farm that sells a single kind of milk to a competitive market.

problem becomes choosing a nonnegative quantity q to maximize pq - C(q), i.e.,

$$\max_{q \in \mathbb{R}_+} pq - C(q). \tag{1.1}$$

Problem (1.1) exemplifies an optimization problem: (i) There is an objective, the expression pq - C(q) following the operator max (shorthand for maximization), which the decision maker is to maximize (or minimize if max is replaced by min). (ii) There is a choice variable and its domain, written underneath max to indicate that the decision maker is to choose an element from the domain to maximize his objective; here the choice variable is denoted q, its domain \mathbb{R}_+ (the set of nonnegative real numbers), with the symbol \in meaning "belongs to" or "is an element of." (iii) There is a parameter, which, p in this example, is assumed constant by the decision maker; it is important to keep in mind the distinction between a choice variable and a parameter: the former is up to the decision maker to choose, while the latter is not.

1.3 Cost function

To make Problem (1.1) more tractable, let us add two usual assumptions, and figure out their implications, of the above cost function C. It is usually assumed that C is of the form

$$C(q) = C_v(q) + c_0 \tag{1.2}$$

for any $q \ge 0$, where $C_v(q)$ varies with q with $C_v(0) = 0$, hence called *variable cost*, and c_0 is positive and constant to q, hence called *fixed cost*. Correspondingly, the *average cost*, defined to be C(q)/q and denoted by AC(q), is decomposed by

$$AC(q) = \frac{C(q)}{q} = \frac{C_v(q)}{q} + \frac{c_0}{q},$$
 (1.3)

with $C_v(q)/q$ called average variable cost (AVC), and c_0/q average fixed cost.

It is also assumed that C is differentiable and that its derivative $\frac{d}{dq}C$, called marginal cost and denoted by MC(q), is continuously decreasing in q when q rises from zero and, once q rises to a threshold level, becomes continuously increasing in q thereafter without upper bound. By Eq. (1.2),

$$MC(q) = \frac{d}{dq}C(q) = \frac{d}{dq}C_v(q). \tag{1.4}$$

Draw a coordinate system whose horizontal axis stands for q, and vertical axis for the marginal cost and the various kinds of average costs. In this coordinate system the marginal cost function corresponds to a curve, U-shape because of the assumption stated before Eq. (1.4). Note the

²Interchangeably \mathbb{R}_+ is also denoted by $[0,\infty)$, meaning the set of real numbers between zero and infinity ∞ , with the [on the left signifying that the boundary point zero is included, and the) on the right that ∞ is excluded. The notation $(0,\infty)$, with the left [replaced by (, excludes zero and denotes the set of positive real numbers.

Index

| $[0,\infty), 4$ | diminishing MRS, 48, 57 |
|---|--|
| \Leftrightarrow , 5 | direct revealed preference, 71 |
| $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}$, 18 $\frac{d}{dq}$, 4 | domain, 4 |
| $\frac{d}{da}$, 4 | dominant strategy equilibrium, 102, 103 |
| \in , 4 | dominated strategy |
| $\mathbb{R}_+,4$ | strictly, 101 |
| $\mathbb{R}^2_+,17$ | weakly, 102 |
| a.e. 144 | |
| $\succ_{\mathscr{D}}$, 71 | endgame, 103 |
| $\succ_{\mathscr{I}}$, 78 | endowment, 57 |
| $ _{q=q_*}, 6$ | envelope theorem, 145 |
| | equilibrium, 95 |
| aligned, 34 | dominant strategy, 102, 103 |
| artificial intelligence, 78 | Nash, 111 |
| | expected payoff, 90 |
| backward induction, 104 | expected utility, 50 |
| Battle of the Sexes, 111, 120 | expected value, 50 |
| best response, 112 | |
| binary relation, 43 | first-order condition, 6 |
| budget set, 55 | fixed point, 118 |
| Centipede Game, 110 | gamble, 49 |
| Chicken Game, 118 | Giffen good, 138 |
| choice variable, 4 | global maximum, 7 |
| comparative statics, 126, 133, 143 | gradient, 33 , 34 |
| consumer's decision, 133 | W |
| input-output decision, 126 | Hurwicz, 37, 81 |
| completeness axiom, 43 | iff, 5 |
| concave function, 124 | income effect, 134–136 |
| constant returns to scale, 24 | increasing returns to scale, 25 |
| consumer's surplus, 64 | indirect revealed preference, 78 |
| consumers' surplus, 65 | inferior good, 134, 137 |
| contingent payoff, 49, 90 | initial node, 103 |
| continuous preference, 47 | interior solution, 6 |
| convex combination, 90 | inverse function, 10 |
| convex hull, 90 | iosprofit, 124 |
| corner solution, 57, 59 | isocost, 18 |
| cost function, 4, 23 | isoquant, 18 |
| derivation of, 23 | iterative elimination of strictly dominated strategies, 102 |
| countable, 45 | increasive committees of softening dominated softweetes, 102 |
| Cournot competition, 115 | Lagrange method, 29, 58, 145 |
| x , | Lagrangian, 30 |
| Debreu, 47 | level surface, 18 |
| decreasing returns to scale, 25 | lexicographic preference, 45 |
| demand function, 61 | local maximum, 7 |
| | |

INDEX 151

| lottery, 49 | strong axiom, 81 |
|---|---|
| | weak axiom, 79 |
| marginal product, 18 | revealed-preference argument, 72, 126 |
| marginal rate of substitution, 48 | consumer theory, 72 |
| maximum | producer theory, 126 |
| global, 7 | Richter, 81 |
| local, 7 | risk averse, 50 |
| measure zero, 144 | , |
| Minimax Theorem, 95 | saddle point, 95, 146 |
| minimum wage, 126 | second-order condition, 6 |
| mixed strategy, 90 | second-price auction, 109 |
| monotone preference, 56 | security level, 93 |
| strongly, 58 | security strategy, 93 |
| MRS, 48 | Slutsky compensated demand, 138 |
| MRS condition, 58 | Slutsky equation, 138, 139 |
| miles condition, co | smooth preference, 57 |
| Nash equilibrium, 111 | |
| pure-strategy, 112 | Stakelberg game, 106 |
| with at least one totally mixed strategy, 112 | strategy |
| node | mixed, 90 |
| initial, 103 | pure, 89 |
| terminal, 103 | totally mixed, 112 |
| normal form, 103, 106 | strictly dominated strategy, 101 |
| | iterative elimination of, 102 |
| normal good, 134, 137 | strong axiom of revealed preference, 81 |
| objective, 4 | strongly monotone preference, 47, 58 |
| , | substitution effect, 73, 134, 135 |
| one-to-one, 10 | Hicksian, 141 |
| open set, 29 | Slutsky, 134, 135 |
| parameter, 4 | supporting hyperplane, 21, 56, 125 |
| partial derivative, 18 | |
| | tariff, 145 |
| perfect complements, 22 | tax rebate, 76 |
| perfect substitutes, 23 | terminal node, 103 |
| PPF, 123 | totally mixed strategy, 112 |
| Prisoners' Dilemma, 101 | transitivity axiom, 43 |
| probability measure, 49 | |
| production function, 123 | value of a zero-sum game, 96 |
| production plan, 123 | vNM utility function, 50 |
| production possibility frontier, 123 | von Neumann, 50, 95 |
| production set, 123 | |
| pure strategy, 89 | weak axiom of revealed preference, 79 |
| pure-strategy Nash equilibria, 112 | weakly dominated strategy, 102 |
| | |
| quasilinear preference, 59 | zero-sum game, 89 |
| quasilinear utility function, 59 | |
| | |
| rationalizable, 78 | |
| reflexivity axiom, 43 | |
| returns to scale | |
| constant, 24 | |
| decreasing, 25 | |
| increasing, 25 | |
| revealed preference | |
| direct, 71 | |
| indirect, 78 | |